Disclosures: Alcon, Allergan, Glaukos, Santen, Viatris

FP 165 – GSI 2023

vpathakray@gmail.com

CENTRE FOR SIGHT

Every eye deserves the best

A Mahindra Collaboration

Endocyclophotocoagulation vs BANG: Outcomes in mild-to-moderate Primary Open Angle glaucoma

> Vanita Pathak-Ray Kushal Goud Taduri Department of Glaucoma Centre for Sight Superspecialty Eye Hospital

Hyderabad, India

Introduction

- Endoscopic cyclophotocoagulation (ECP) and Bent Ab-interno Needle Goniectomy (BANG) are both Minimally Invasive Glaucoma Surgery (MIGS) procedures.
- ECP is an ab-interno procedure, reduces aqueous production, effect of which is independent of the ocular surface (OS) and angle status
- BANG is a cost-effective alternative to procedures that un-roof the Schlemm's canal (eg. KDB Glide trabeculotomy)
- A 26-G needle is bent and used for this purpose; an open angle is essential, efficacy depends on the OS
- Both can be combined with cataract surgery; or even standalone in pseudophakes and aphakes

Aim

To investigate the comparative efficacy and safety of ocular surface independent MIGS ECP and ocular surface dependent MIGS BANG in mild-to-moderate Open Angle Glaucoma (POAG/ JOAG/ PXFG) with chronic usage of anti-glaucoma medication (AGM).

Results

	ECP	BANG	р
Ν	21	16	
Follow up in months (Mean±SD)	11.3 ± 4.8	12.6 ± 4.8	.569
Age in years (Mean±SD)	61.5 ±14.7	63.7 ± 6.2	.944
Pre IOP in mmHg (Mean±SD)	22.9 ± 6.9	18.7 ± 5.2	.05
Last IOP in mmHg (Mean±SD)	15.0 ± 2.8	15.8 ± 3.1	.354
% reduction of IOP	34.8%	14.6%	
Pre AGM (Mean±SD)	3.2 ±1.5	2.4 ±1.2	.05
Last AGM (Mean±SD)	1.2 ±1.3	1.0 ±1.6	.522
% reduction of AGM	62.5%	58.3%	
Complete success (N, %)	9, 43%	8, 50%	.117
Qualified success (N, %)	11, 52.4%	7, 43.7%	.093
Total success (N, %) (Complete + Qualified)	20, 95%	15, 93.75%	.393
Failure (N, %)*	1, 4.8 %	1, 6.25 %	.393
Serious complications (N, %)	Nil	Nil	
*Failure in both groups were only on IOP criterion			

Methods

<u>Design:</u> Retrospective, interventional, comparative <u>Participants:</u>

• Consecutive subjects with POAG on AGM for at least 1 year, who underwent ECP or BANG, with or without phaco

• Aged 30 years or more

Primary Outcome <u>Measure:</u> Intra-ocular Pressure (IOP) Secondary Outcome Measures: Anti-Glaucoma medication (AGM) Complete success* Serious complications**

*Complete success was defined as an IOP > 5 and ≤ 18 mmHg without medication Qualified success was defined as meeting these criteria with medication Failure to meet these criteria and/or requirement for reoperation was defined as failure **Serious complications - defined as sight threatening complications like CD, RD, Hypotony - and NLP vision.

ECP procedure with phaco

- After IOL is in the bag, cohesive viscoelastic is injected into the sulcus
- 19G curved probe is introduced through the phaco wound and CB visualised
- Laser is delivered under direct vision (Figure 1.) endpoint is whitening and shrinkage
- Laser parameters 250-500 mw at fixed 2 second duration; 'pops' are avoided
- Viscoelastic is washed

Failure in both groups were only on IOP criterion

Conclusion

Both types of MIGS, ECP and BANG, are effective and safe procedures in controlling IOP with significant reduction in AGM in POAG in mild-to-moderate glaucoma with chronic usage of topical medications.





Figure 1. Laser delivered to ciliary body under direct view

Figure 2. 26-G hypodermic needle bent 45°

Figure 3. BANG; Wite arrow – bent needle Black arrows – unroofed Schlemm's canal Yellow arrows – Posterior Trabecular meshwork

11

- <u>BANG procedure with phaco</u>
 After IOL is in the bag, cohesive viscoelastic is injected in the AC
- Patient's head is turned 35° away and the microscope is tilted 35° towards the surgeon seated temporally
- Cohesive viscoelastic is used as a coupling gel for the intra-operative gonioscope and the trabecular meshwork is visualised 'en face'
- 26-G hypodermic needle is bent 45° (Fig. 2) and Schlemm's canal is unroofed for 90° (Fig. 3)
- Viscoelastic is washed

Longer-term study with larger sample size is recommended to check for validity of the results.

References

- Shute T, Green W, Liu J, Sheybani A. An Alternate Technique for Goniotomy: Description of Procedure and Preliminary Results. J Ophthalmic Vis Res. 2022 Apr 29;17(2):170-175.
- Pathak Ray V. Goniotomy in adults: is it a viable Minimally Invasive Glaucoma Surgery option? Indian J Ophthalmol June 2022;70(6):2036-2037.
- Townsend J, Badar A, Dixon S, Parekh P, Martin E; Outcomes of bent ab interno needle goniectomy in primary open angle glaucoma. Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci. 2021;62(8):3431.
- Pathak-Ray V. Intermediate results of phaco-endocycloplasty in an exclusive cohort of angle closure glaucoma: potential for change. Int Ophthalmol. 2019;39(10):2257-2265.
- Lin MM, Rageh A, Turalba AV, Lee H, Falkenstein IA, Hoguet AS, et al. Differential Efficacy of Combined Phacoemulsification and Endocyclophotocoagulation in Open-angle Glaucoma Versus Angle-closure Glaucoma. J Glau. 2019;28(5):473-80.
- Siegel MJ, Boling WS, Faridi OS, Gupta CK, Kim C, Boling RC, et al. Combined endoscopic cyclophotocoagulation and phacoemulsification versus phacoemulsification alone in the treatment of mild to moderate glaucoma. Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 2015;43(6):531–9.
- Noecker RJ. Complications of endoscopic cyclophotocoagulation: ECP Collaborative Study Group. Paper presented at: The ASCRS Symposium on Cataract, IOL and Refractive Surgery: May 1, 2007- San Diego CA2007.
- Kahook MY, Lathrop KL, Noecker RJ. One-site versus two-site endoscopic cyclophotocoagulation. J Glau. 2007;16(6):527-30.

